

(Middle Europe), which were 3.6°C and 4.2°C respectively. The oxygen consumption was determined in May, July/August and in December (after two months of hibernation). The lowest Q<sub>10</sub> values and the smallest oxygen uptake were measured in December, when the oxygen consumption at 35°C in *L. vivipara* and *L. agilis* was 44.8 and 39.3 cm<sup>3</sup>/100g/hr respectively, against the 68.5 and 53.3 cm<sup>3</sup>/100g/hr measured in July/August.

The mean preferred temperature and the oxygen consumption of the three species of *Lacerta* did not differ, when measured before and after acclimation to 16°C, 26°C and 32°C. In the critical thermal minimum, the 16°C acclimation caused a fall, while the 26°C and the 32°C acclimation caused a rise in all three species. These results are correlated with the ecology of the species studied.

GROWTH IN RANA TEMPORARIA AND R. ARVALIS

J. LOMAN, Department of Animal Ecology, University of Lund, Sweden

The growth in two frog species (*Rana temporaria* and *Rana arvalis*) was studied by means of: (1) The size distribution in the catch from pitfalls operated continuously for 2 1/2 years. Due to individual variation in growth, a year-class could only be followed up to one year of age with this method. (2) Individual growth records from marked adult frogs recaptured during the same growth season. (3) Ditto, but recaptured during successive summers. All measurements are snout-urostyle. The study area is located in S Sweden (55°40'N, 13°30'E).

During the growth season, the mean growth in adult frogs was 0.03 mm per day (*Rana arvalis*, N = 19) and 0.08 mm per day (*R. temporaria*, N = 53). There was no difference between males and females. *R. temporaria* virtually ceased growing after 1st September. Too few captures made a similar analysis of *R. arvalis* impossible. In the catch from the pitfalls, the size-class of young-of-the-year was distinct. Young *R. arvalis* grew significantly from July to August but not from August to September (means of 17.2, 20.7 and 21.3 mm resp.). Young *R. temporaria* grew significantly from July through September but not from September to October (means of 14.3, 22.0, 23.6 and 24.0 mm resp.). When

measured both intra- and inter-yearly, large frogs grew more slowly than smaller. When measured within one season, the linear regression of growth per day, g(mm), on the length at the middle of the growth period, l (mm), was  $g = -0.0078 l + 0.41$  (r = -0.75, N = 15 (*R. arvalis*)) and  $g = -0.0071 l + 0.48$  (r = -0.54, N = 35) (*R. temporaria*). According to these equations, growth ceases completely at 52 and 67 mm resp. When measured on a yearly basis the linear regression of growth per year, G (mm), on the length of the frog in the first year, L (mm), is  $G = -0.58 L + 30.1$  (r = -0.82, N = 17) and  $G = -0.73 L + 47.5$  (r = -0.73, N = 13). According to these equations, growth ceases completely at 52 and 65 mm resp. The largest frogs measured in these populations were 56 mm (*R. arvalis*, 230 measured frogs) and 70 mm (*R. temporaria*, also 230 measured frogs).

The large spread in size of young (16-27 mm (*R. arvalis*) and 18-33 mm (*R. temporaria*) for frogs measured during the winter) shows that growth rate is individual. The data above show that the asymptotic growth limit (that I suggest exists for each individual frog if food availability is constant) also varies individually. For both growth rate and growth limit I suggest that genotypic and environmental factors influence the values for individual frogs.

If these data are used to construct growth curves for a 'mean frog', the following results are obtained: *R. arvalis* year 0: metamorphosis, I: 30 mm, II: 42 mm, III: 47 mm, IV: 50 mm, V: 51 mm. Fast growing and/or early maturing frogs might start breeding when not quite two years old, but most probably do not start breeding before they are three years old. *R. temporaria*: year 0: metamorphosis, I: 38 mm, II: 58 mm, III: 64 mm, IV: 65 mm, V: 65 mm. Fast growing and/or early maturing frogs might start breeding when not quite two years old, but most probably do not start breeding before they are three years old.

THE REPRODUCTIVE CYCLE OF UIPERA BERUS IN SW SWEDEN

G. NILSON, Department of Zoology, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Investigations on the reproduction biology of *Uiper a berus* from the west coast of

Sweden have been in progress. The normal reproduction cycle of *U. berus* spans over one year. A male is able to mate every spring. Spermatogenesis is non-active during winter with resting spermatogonia in the seminiferous tubules. At the end of July spermatogenesis develops in the tubules and spermatocytes continues during the summer season. In January spermatid development the males have finished hibernation. In the middle of March several layers of sperm are found in the lumen. Soon after hibernation, spermatozoas develop. At the end of March, about two weeks after hibernation, full spermatozoa activities start, full spermatozoa development progress.

The mating period starts in May of the males; this seems to vary in all the different regions investigated. At this time spermatogenesis is diminishing, the germinal cells are generating and the bulk of spermatozoa to be found in the vas deferens. Spermatogenesis regression and tubuli with only resting spermatozoa can be seen in the middle of the mating period.

The testis is largest during the period of full spermatogenesis in the middle of the year and then decreases in size and number of spermatozoa. The value in May, shortly after hibernation, is the lowest during the mating period. The interest is in the highest number during the period of full spermatogenesis. After hibernation very few can be seen. In the middle of the year a synchronization with *U. berus* from Denmark is observed.

The fact that the female reproduction cycle is triennial reproduction cycle of the range of the species is noted by several authors. This is particularly in the Swedish west coast. The fact that even the male in southern Sweden is influenced by certain environmental factors, yet fully analysed, can have an influence on the cycle that, as in the female, are gone through. One explanation is a delay in development of the spermatogenic cycle of the normal cycle observed in the north. The spermatogenesis in June coincide